

法p特異モジュラー形式の基底について (On the basis of mod p singular forms)

福岡工業大学・菊田俊幸
(Fukuoka Institute of Technology · Toshiyuki Kikuta)

法 p 特異モジュラー形式の基底について
(On the basis of mod p singular forms)
Joint work with Prof. Boecherer

1. Introduction
2. Notation and Definition
3. Conjectures and Main Results
4. Proof of Theorem 1
5. Proof of Theorem 2
6. Proof of Theorem 3
7. Remarks and Problems

1. Introduction

■ 1. Introduction

- Siegel modular group $\Gamma_n := \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$,
- $M_k(\Gamma_n)$: sp. of modular forms (MF) of wt k , for Γ_n ,
- Fourier expansion:

$$F \in M_k(\Gamma_n) \implies F = \sum_{0 \leq T \in \Lambda_n} a_F(T) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(TZ)),$$

$$\mathbf{e}(x) := e^{2\pi ix}, \quad \Lambda_n := \{T = (t_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \mid t_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}, 2t_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Definition

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_n)$: singular $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a_F(T) = 0$ for $\forall T$ with $\mathrm{rank}(T) = n$.

$r := \max\{\mathrm{rank}(T) \mid \exists T \text{ s.t. } a_f(T) \neq 0\}$: (singular) rank

■ 1. Introduction

- Theta series $0 < S \in \Lambda_m$,

$$\theta_S^{(n)}(Z) := \sum_{X \in \mathbb{Z}^{m,n}} e(\text{tr}(S[X]Z)), \quad S[X] := {}^t X S X$$

: MF with some level of deg. n , $\text{wt } \frac{m}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} A(S, T) &:= \#\{X \in \mathbb{Z}^{m,n} \mid S[X] = T\} \\ &\implies \theta_S^{(n)} = \sum_{0 \leq T \in \Lambda_n} A(S, T) e(\text{tr}(TZ)) \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$m < \text{rank}(T) \implies A(S, T) = 0 \implies \theta_S^{(n)}: \text{singular of rank } m$$

Theorem (Freitag)

$$(1) F \in M_k(\Gamma_n): \text{ singular} \iff k < \frac{n}{2}$$

$$(2) F \in M_k(\Gamma_n): \text{ singular of rank } r \iff k = \frac{r}{2}$$

$$(3) F \in M_k(\Gamma_n): \text{ singular of rank } r \implies f = \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r \\ \text{level}(S)=1}} c_S \theta_S^{(n)}$$

Level N version is

$$(3)' F: \text{ singular of rank } r \text{ with level } N \implies F = \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r \\ \text{level}(S)|N}} c_S \theta_S^{(n)}$$

1. Introduction

How about the case of mod p ?

About the mod p analog of

(1) ... not done

(2) ... studied in 2016 (Boecherer-K)

F : mod p singular,
 $\exists E \in M_{p-1}(\Gamma_n)$ s.t. $E \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
 $\implies FE \equiv F \pmod{p}$
 \implies wt. increased

→ F : mod p singular of p -rank $r \implies 2k - r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$



$$k = \frac{r}{2}$$

Today's talk

About a mod p analog of (3)

2. Notation and Definition

■ 2. Notation and Definition

- Siegel upper half space:

$$\mathbb{H}_n := \{ Z = X + iY \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid Y > 0 \text{ (pos. def.)} \}$$

- Siegel modular group Γ_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n &:= \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ M \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t M J_n M = J_n \right\} \quad (J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A^t D - B^t C = 1_n, \ A^t B, \ C^t D \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Congruence subgroup of level $N \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_n \mid C \equiv 0_n \pmod{N} \right\}$$

■ 2. Notation and Definition

- Generalized fractional transformation:

$$M\langle Z \rangle := (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{H}_n, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_n$$

- $F : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$: holomorphic function, $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\begin{aligned} F|_k M &= F|M \\ &:= (\det M)^{\frac{n}{2}} \det(CZ + D)^{-k} F((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- F : **Siegel modular form** of wt. k , char. χ for $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N)$

$$\overset{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad F|_k M = \chi(\det D)F \quad \text{for} \quad \forall M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(n)}(N)$$

■ 2. Notation and Definition

10

- Sp. of modular forms:

$$M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi) := \{ \text{ Siegel MF of wt. } k, \text{ char. } \chi \text{ for } \Gamma_0^{(n)}(N) \}$$

- For a ring $R \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$M_k(\Gamma, \chi)_R := \{ F \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi) \mid a_F(T) \in R \text{ for } \forall T \in \Lambda_n \},$$

- $0 < S \in \Lambda_m, \quad L = \text{level}(S) := \min\{N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid N(2S)^{-1} \in 2\Lambda_m\},$

$$\chi_S(d) = \text{sign}(d)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \det 2S}{|d|} \right) \implies \theta_S^{(n)} \in M_{m/2}(\Gamma_0(L), \chi_S)_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

- $\widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma, \chi) := \{ \widetilde{F} \mid F \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \}, \quad \widetilde{F} = \sum_T \widetilde{a_F(T)} e(\text{tr}(TZ))$

Definition

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} : \text{mod } p \text{ singular of } p\text{-rank } r$

$\iff a_F(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } \forall T \in \Lambda_{n+r} \text{ with } \text{rank}(T) > r,$

$\exists T \in \Lambda_{n+r} \text{ with } \text{rank}(T) = r \text{ s.t. } a_F(T) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

By our result (2016), if $\chi^2 = 1$

$F : \text{mod } p \text{ singular of } p\text{-rank } r \implies 2k - r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$

→ r : even!

3. Conjectures and Main Results

3. Conjectures and Main Results

13

Conjecture 1

Any mod p singular form would be congruent mod p to a true singular form.

Conjecture 2

$n, r, k, N \in \mathbb{N}$, p : prime, χ : Dirichlet char. mod N s.t. $\chi^2 = 1$.

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$: mod p singular of p -rk $r \implies \exists e \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$F \equiv \sum_{\substack{T \in \Lambda_r / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{level}(T) | p^e N}} c_T \vartheta_T^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}, \quad c_T \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

Here e is determined by k , like as, if k is small, then e is also small.

■ 3. Conjectures and Main Results

14

The possible smallest weight case:

Conjecture 3

$n, r, k, N \in \mathbb{N}$, p : prime, χ : Dirichlet char. mod N s.t. $\chi^2 = 1$,

$$k = k(r, p) := \begin{cases} \frac{p+r-1}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad p \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \\ p - 1 + \frac{r}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$: mod p singular of p -rk r

$$\implies F \equiv \sum_{\substack{T \in \Lambda_r / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{level}(T) | pN}} c_T \vartheta_T^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}, \quad c_T \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

■ 3. Conjectures and Main Results

15

Theorem 1 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq r, \quad p - 1 \nmid r,$$

χ : Dirichlet char. mod N s.t. $\chi^2 = 1, \quad \chi(-1) = (-1)^k$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)$: mod p singular of p -rk r ,

\implies Conjecture 1 is true, i.e.,

$$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_r^+: \text{fin. set} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

Remark • Actually we have $\theta_{S_j}^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$.

• We do not mention anything about the level of S_j !

■ 3. Conjectures and Main Results

Theorem 2 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq r, \quad p - 1 \nmid r, \quad N = 1,$$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_{n+r})$: mod p singular of p -rk r

\implies Conjecture 2 is true, i.e.,

$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_r^+$: fin. set s.t. level(S_j) is p -power and

$$F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

■ 3. Conjectures and Main Results

Theorem 3 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq 1, \quad r = 2, \quad p > n + 5, \quad p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \quad N = 1$$

$F \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_{n+2})$: mod p singular of p -rk 2

\implies Conjecture 3 is true, i.e.,

$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_2^+$: fin. set s.t. $\text{level}(S_j) = p$ and

$$F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Tool 1: Sturm set for mod p singular

$\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{n+r}$: a modular group of degree $n+r$

$\widetilde{M}_{k,r}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma, \chi)$: sp. / \mathbb{F}_p of mod p singular of p -rank $\leq r$

→ Consider the quotient space

$$V = V_{k,r} := \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma, \chi) / \widetilde{M}_{k,r}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma, \chi)$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} M_k(\Gamma, \chi) < \infty \implies \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V < \infty$$

→ By the Linear Algebra, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V^* = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V < \infty$

Here $V^* := \{\phi \mid \phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p : \text{linear map}\}$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

→ For $T \in \Lambda_{n+r}$ with $\text{rank}(T) > r$, define $\ell_T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ by

$$\ell_T(F + \widetilde{M}_{k,r}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma)) := \widetilde{a_F(T)}$$

$$\implies L := \langle \ell_T \mid T \in \Lambda_{n+r}, \text{rank}(T) > r \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p} \subset V^*$$

$$\dim V^* < \infty \implies \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L =: d < \infty$$

→ We can select a basis

$$\langle \ell_{T_1}, \dots, \ell_{T_d} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p} = L$$

→ Hence we obtain a “Sturm set”

$$\mathcal{T}_{n+r,r} = \mathcal{T}_{n+r,r}(k, \Gamma) := \{T_1, \dots, T_d\} :$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

21

$$\begin{aligned} F \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi), \quad a_F(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{n+r,r} \\ \implies F \in M_{k,r}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

∴ $T \in \Lambda_{n+r}$ with $\text{rk}(T) > r \implies$

$$a_F(T) = \ell_T(F) = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \ell_{T_i}(F) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_F(T_i) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Notation

- $M_n^{(r)}(\mathbb{Z}) := \{M \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \text{rank}(M) = r\}, \quad M_n^*(\mathbb{Z}) := M_n^{(n)}(\mathbb{Z})$
- $a(S) := a_F(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{smallmatrix}) \quad \text{when} \quad S \in \Lambda_r$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

22

- $a^*(T)$: the primitive Fourier coefficient defined by

$$a(T) = \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus M_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \\ T[G^{-1}] \in \Lambda_r}} a^*(T[G^{-1}])$$
$$\implies a(T) = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{\epsilon(S)} \sum_{\substack{V \in M_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \\ S[V] = T}} a^*(S), \quad \epsilon(S) := A(S, S)$$

$$\bullet F_{[r]} := \sum_{\substack{T \in \Lambda_n \\ \mathrm{rank}(T) = r}} a(T) e(\mathrm{tr}(TZ))$$

Introduced by Boecherer-Raghavan.
We use this version!

$$\bullet \Lambda_n^{(r)} := \{T \in \Lambda_n \mid \mathrm{rank}(T) = r\}, \quad \Lambda_n^+ := \Lambda_n^{(n)}$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

23

Variant of Freitag's argument

Lemma 4.1

$$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi), \quad \chi(-1) = (-1)^k$$

$$\implies F_{[r]}(Z) = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \sum_{\substack{(X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ \mathrm{rank}(X_1, X_2) = r}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[(X_1, X_2)]Z)).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{(X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[(X_1, X_2)]Z)) = \sum_{X \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,n+r}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[X]Z)) = \theta_S^{(r)}(Z).$$

$$\implies F_{[r]}(Z) = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} (\theta_S^{(n+r)}(Z))_{[r]}.$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof.

$$\exists! W \in M_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) / \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$$

For $X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r}$, $X_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,n}$, $\exists W \in M_r^*(\mathbb{Z})$ s.t.

$$(X_1, X_2) = W(G_1, G_2), \quad (\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ G_1 & G_2 \end{smallmatrix}) \in \text{GL}_{n+r}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

RHS

$$= \sum_S \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \sum_{W \in M_r^*(\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{\substack{(G_1, G_2) \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ (\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ G_1 & G_2 \end{smallmatrix}) \in \text{GL}_{n+r}(\mathbb{Z})}} e(\text{tr}(S[W][(G_1, G_2)]Z)),$$

$= a(T)$ if we put $S[W] = T$

$= T$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} = \sim \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n}, \\ (X_1, X_2) \sim (Y_1, Y_2) \iff \exists G \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ s.t. } (X_1, X_2) = G(Y_1, Y_2) \end{array} \right)$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

If we put $S[W] = T$, then

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{T \in \Lambda_r^+} a(T) \sum_{\substack{(G_1, G_2) \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ (\overset{*}{G_1} \overset{*}{G_2}) \in \text{GL}_{n+r}(\mathbb{Z})}} e(\text{tr}(T[(G_1, G_2)]Z))$$

$$= \sum_{T \in \Lambda_r^+} \sum_{\substack{(G_1, G_2) \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ (\overset{*}{G_1} \overset{*}{G_2}) \in \text{GL}_{n+r}(\mathbb{Z})}} a(T) e(\text{tr}(T[(G_1, G_2)]Z))$$

$$U := (\overset{*}{G_1} \overset{*}{G_2}) \implies T[(G_1, G_2)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}[U] \implies a(T) = a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}\right) = a(T[(G_1, G_2)])$$

$$= \sum_T \sum_{\substack{(G_1, G_2) \in \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ (\overset{*}{G_1} \overset{*}{G_2}) \in \text{GL}_{n+r}(\mathbb{Z})}} a(T[(G_1, G_2)]) e(\text{tr}(T[(G_1, G_2)]Z))$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

If T and (G_1, G_2) run, then $T[(G_1, G_2)]$ runs over $\Lambda_{n+r}^{(r)}$.

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{T \in \Lambda_{n+r}^{(r)}} a(T) \mathbf{e}(\text{tr}(TZ)) = F_{[r]}(Z) \quad \square$$

Let $Z_1 \in \mathbb{H}_r$, $Z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_n$.

$$F \left(\begin{smallmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{T} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T & * \\ * & * \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \Lambda_{n+r} \\ T \in \Lambda_r}} \phi_T(Z_2) \mathbf{e}(\text{tr}(TZ_1))$$

$$\implies \phi_T \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi) \quad \text{for } \forall T \in \Lambda_r.$$

We denote by F^* the subseries of F , characterized by

$$\mathfrak{T} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} T & * \\ * & * \end{smallmatrix} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad T \in \Lambda_r^+.$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

$$\rightarrow F^* \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_{n+r} \\ T \in \Lambda_r^+}} \phi_T(Z_2) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(TZ_1))$$

(Still $\phi_T \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$)

Suppose now that F is mod p singular of p -rank r .

$$\rightarrow F^* \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv F_{[r]}^* \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_S \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \sum_{\substack{(X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r,n} \\ \mathrm{rank}(X_1) = r}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[X_1]Z_1)) e(\mathrm{tr}(S[X_2]Z_2))$$

By Lemma 4.1

$$= \sum_S \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \sum_{\substack{X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} \\ \mathrm{rank}(X_1) = r}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[X_1]Z_1)) \sum_{X_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,n}} e(\mathrm{tr}(S[X_2]Z_2))$$

$*: \mathrm{rank}(X_1, X_2) = r \rightarrow \mathrm{rank}(X_1) = r$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_S \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} (\theta_S^{(r)}(Z_1))_{[r]} \theta_S^{(n)}(Z_2) \\
 &= \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \left(\sum_{T \in \Lambda_r^+} A(S, T) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(TZ_1)) \right) \theta_S^{(n)}(Z_2) \\
 &= \sum_{T \in \Lambda_r^+} \left(\sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} A(S, T) \theta_S^{(n)}(Z_2) \right) \mathbf{e}(\mathrm{tr}(TZ_1)) \\
 \xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} \phi_T(Z_2) &\equiv \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} A(T, S) \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n)}(Z_2) \pmod{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

We could see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \text{ is mod } p \text{ singular of } p\text{-rank } r &\implies \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})} A(T, S) \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n)}(Z_2) \\
 (\text{By Minkowski, } p - 1 \nmid r &\implies p \nmid \epsilon(S) = A(S, S))
 \end{aligned}
 \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$$

Proposition 4.2

p : prime with $p - 1 \nmid r$,

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$: mod p singular of p -rank r , $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$,

$S \in \Lambda_r^+$ with $a^*(S) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ $\implies \theta_S^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$

Proof. Suppose that $\exists S$ s.t. the claim is not true.

Take S_0 in such S s.t. $\det S_0$ is minimal.

Consider

$$\phi_{S_0} - \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \det S < \det S_0}} A(S, S_0) \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

30

Then this becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ / \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \det S \geq \det S_0}} A(S, S_0) \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n)} \equiv A(S_0, S_0) \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S_0)} \theta_{S_0}^{(n)}.$$

$S \not\sim S_0 \implies A(S, S_0) = 0$

$$= a^*(S_0) \theta_{S_0}^{(n)}$$

→ $\theta_{S_0}^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$ Contradiction. □

Corollary 4.3

$p - 1 \nmid r$, $S \in \Lambda_r^+$: $a_F(S) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

$\det S$ is minimal. $\implies \theta_S^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N), \chi)$

Remark. This property can be proved by also Freitag's original argument.

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

31

We prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq r, \quad p - 1 \nmid r,$$

χ : Dirichlet char. mod N s.t. $\chi^2 = 1, \quad \chi(-1) = (-1)^k$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)$: mod p singular of p -rk r ,

\implies Conjecture 1 is true, i.e.,

$$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_r^+: \text{fin. set} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof.

For the Sturm set $\mathcal{T}_{r,r-1} \subset \Lambda_r^+$ corresponding to $M_{k,r-1}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma_0^{(r)}(N), \chi)$,

we take $M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$M > \max\{\det T \mid T \in \mathcal{T}_{r,r-1}\}.$$

→ Consider $G := F - \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S < M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n+r)}$ and $g := \Phi^n(G)$.
 (Φ: Siegel Φ-operator)



$$g = \Phi^n(F) - \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S < M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(r)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_0^{(r)}(N), \chi)$$

By Prop 4.2 and $n \geq r$

We prove $g \in M_{k,r-1}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma_0^{(r)}(N), \chi)$.

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

It suffices to check the Fourier coefficients $a_g(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_{r,r-1} \subset \Lambda_r^+$.

$$\rightarrow a_g(T) = \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S \geq M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} A(S, T) \quad \text{for } \forall T \in \Lambda_r^+$$

$$g = \Phi^n(F) - \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S < M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(r)}, \quad F_{[r]} = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_r^+} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} (\theta_S^{(n+r)}(Z))_{[r]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S[X] = T \text{ with } X \in \mathbb{Z}^{r,r} &\implies \det S[X] = \det S(\det X)^2 = \det T \\ &\implies \det S \leq \det T \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow A(S, T) = 0 \quad \text{for } \forall T \quad \text{with } \det T < \det S \quad (\forall T \text{ with } \det T < M)$$

$$\rightarrow g \in M_{k,r-1}^{p\text{-sing}}(\Gamma_0^{(r)}(N), \chi)$$

■ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that $g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r: p\text{-rank of } F \implies 2k - r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ r' (\leq r-1): p\text{-rank of } g \implies 2k - r' \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \end{array} \right.$$

By result of Boecherer-K

$\rightarrow r - r' \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$

Since $p-1 > r > r'$, this is impossible!

$\rightarrow g \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \rightarrow \Phi^n(F) \equiv \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S < M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(r)} \pmod{p}$

$\because F$ is of p -rk r ,
 $\theta_S^{(n+r)}$: singular of rk r

$\rightarrow F \equiv \sum_{\substack{S \in \Lambda_r^+ \\ \det S < M}} \frac{a^*(S)}{\epsilon(S)} \theta_S^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$

5. Proof of Theorem 2

■ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

36

Tool 2: Strong q -expansion principle

Theorem (Katz (n=1), Ichikawa (n general))

$$F \in M_k(\Gamma_1^{(n)}(N))_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \quad (p \nmid N) \implies F|_{\gamma} \text{ is } p\text{-integral for } \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_n$$



Theorem A (Boecherer-K)

$$\begin{aligned} F &\in M_k(\Gamma_1^{(n)}(N) \cap \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p^m))_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \quad (p \nmid N) \\ &\implies F|_{\gamma} \text{ is } p\text{-integral for } \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0^{(n)}(p^m) \end{aligned}$$

Tool 3: Formula for theta series

Theorem (Kitaoka ($n=1$))

$$0 < S \in \Lambda_n, \quad S \leftrightarrow L \text{ (lattice)}, \quad \text{level}(S) = N,$$

$$d \mid N, \quad (d, \frac{N}{d}) = 1, \quad c := \frac{N}{d}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1$$

$$\implies \theta_L^{(1)} \mid M = \kappa \cdot \theta_{L'}^{(1)}, \quad L' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \begin{cases} L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } p \nmid d \\ (L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^* & \text{if } p \mid d \end{cases}$$



■ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

38

Theorem B (Boecherer-K)

$$0 < S \in \Lambda_n, \quad S \leftrightarrow L \text{ (lattice)}, \quad \text{level}(S) = N,$$

$$d \mid N, \quad (d, \frac{N}{d}) = 1, \quad c := \frac{N}{d}, \quad \mathfrak{M} := \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot 1_n & b \cdot 1_n \\ c \cdot 1_n & d \cdot 1_n \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_n,$$

$$\implies \theta_L^{(n)} \mid \mathfrak{M} = \kappa \cdot \theta_{L'}^{(n)}, \quad L' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \begin{cases} L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } p \nmid d \\ (L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^* & \text{if } p \mid d \end{cases}$$

■ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

39

As an application of these two theorems, we have

Proposition 5.1

p : prime with $p - 1 \nmid n$,

$S \in \Lambda_n^+$, $\text{level}(S) = N = N' \cdot q^t$, $q \neq p$, $q^t \mid \mid N'$ ($t > 0$)

$\implies \theta_S^{(n)} \not\equiv \phi \pmod{p}$ for $\forall \phi \in M_k(\Gamma_n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, $\forall k$

Proof. Suppose that $\exists \phi$ s.t. $\phi \equiv \theta_S^{(n)} \pmod{p}$.

Apply Theorem B to $\theta_S^{(n)}$ with $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ N' & q^t \end{pmatrix}$.

→ $\theta_S^{(n)} \mid \mathfrak{M} = \kappa \cdot \theta_{S'}^{(n)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p}$. Here $L \leftrightarrow S$, $L' \leftrightarrow S'$.

By Theorem A

■ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

40

- S' : rational symmetric matrix with q appearing in its denominator
- $\kappa \cdot \theta_{S'}^{(n)}$ has S' 'th Fourier coefficient $\kappa \cdot A(S', S') \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- $a_\phi(S') \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- Since S' is not half integral, this is a contradiction! □

From $p \nmid \kappa$ and

$$p - 1 \nmid n \implies p \nmid A(S', S')$$

Corollary 5.2

p : prime with $p - 1 \nmid n$, $S \in \Lambda_n^+$,

$\exists \phi \in M_k(\Gamma_n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ s.t. $\theta_S^{(n)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p} \implies \text{level}(S)$ is p -power

■ 5. Proof of Theorem 2

41

Hence, we can restate Theorem 1 for $N = 1$ as Theorem 2.

(Use $\theta_{S_j}^{(n)} \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_n)$ \implies level(S_j) is p -power)

Theorem 2 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq r, \quad p - 1 \nmid r, \quad N = 1$$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_{n+r})$: mod p singular of p -rk r

\implies Conjecture 2 is true, i.e.,

$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_r^+$: fin. set s.t. level(S_j) is p -power and

$$F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

6. Proof of Theorem 3

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

In Theorem 1, 2, we assumed $n \geq r$.

In Theorem 3, we include the case $r = 2, n = 1$.

Proposition 6.1

p : prime, $S \in \Lambda_2^+$: level $N = p^j \cdot N'$ with $p \nmid N'$,
 $\exists \phi \in M_k(\Gamma_1)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \text{ s.t. } \theta_S^{(1)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p}$
 $\implies N' = 1, \text{ i.e., level}(S) = p^j$

Remark. To include the case $r = 2, n = 1$, we look at degree 1 theta!

Proof.

Suppose $N' > 1$.

Apply Theorem B (Kitaoka's original) to $\theta_S^{(1)}$ with $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ p^j & N' \end{pmatrix}$.

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

- $\theta_S^{(1)} \mid M = \kappa \cdot \vartheta_{S'}^{(1)}$, and
 $N'S'$: half integral, $(N', \text{cont}(N'S')) = 1$,
 $\text{cont}(N'S') = p^\alpha$ if $p^\alpha \parallel \text{cont}(S)$
- $\frac{N'}{p^\alpha} S' \in \Lambda_2^+$: primitive
- $\exists l$: prime with $l \nmid N'$ s.t.

$$A\left(S', \frac{l \cdot p^\alpha}{N'}\right) = A\left(\frac{N'S'}{p^\alpha}, l\right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$
- ϕ : of level 1 $\implies \kappa \cdot A\left(S', \frac{l \cdot p^\alpha}{N'}\right) \equiv a_\phi\left(\frac{l \cdot p^\alpha}{N'}\right) = 0 \pmod{p}$
- This contradicts and we get $N' = 1$. \square

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

45

- Let $\text{level}(S)$: odd power of p . ($\implies \det(2S)$: odd power of p)

By the Elementary Divisors Theorem (and by some consideration), we may assume that S is of the form

$$S = S(i, j) = p^i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & bp^{j+1} \\ bp^{j+1} & dp^{2j+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad adp - b^2p^2 = p$$

- Put $\omega(f) := \inf\{k \mid f \in \widetilde{M}_k(\Gamma_1)\}$ (filtration weight).

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

Proposition 6.2

p : odd prime, $S \in \Lambda_2^+$, $\det(2S)$: odd power of p ,

$$S = S(i, j) = p^i \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & bp^{j+1} \\ bp^{j+1} & dp^{2j+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad adp - b^2p^2 = p$$
$$\implies \omega(\vartheta_S^{(1)}) \geq p^{i+j} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2}$$

Proof. We write $\omega(S) := \omega(\vartheta_S^{(1)})$.

Use $\vartheta_{S(i,j)}^{(1)} = \vartheta_{S(i-1,j)}^{(1)}|V(p)$. (Here $f|V(p) := f(pZ) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_f(n)e(pnz)$)

By Serre (and Katz)
 $\omega(f|V(p)) = p\omega(f|V(p))$



$$\omega(S(i,j)) = p^i \omega(S(0,j)).$$

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

We can confirm $\theta_{S(0,j)}^{(1)} \mid U(p) = \theta_{S(1,j-1)}^{(1)}$.

(Here $f|U(p) := \sum_{n=0} a_f(pn)e(nz)$)

$$\begin{aligned} A(S(0,j), pn) &= \#\{(x,y) \mid ax^2 + bp^{j+1}xy + dp^{2j+1}y^2 = pn\} \\ &= \#\{(px,y) \mid p^2ax^2 + bp^{j+2}xy + dp^{2j+1}y^2 = pn\} \\ &= \#\{(x,y) \mid pax^2 + bp^{j+1}xy + dp^{2j}y^2 = n\} = A(S(1,j-1), n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow f|T_p \equiv f|U(p) \equiv \text{mod } p \implies \omega(f|U(p)) \leq \omega(f) \implies$$

$$\omega(S(0,j)) \geq \omega(S(1,j-1)) = p\omega(S(0,j-1)).$$

$$\rightarrow \omega(S(i,j)) \geq p^{i+j}\omega(S(0,0)) = p^{i+j}\omega(S(0,0)) = p^{i+j} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2}. \quad \square$$

By Boecherer-Nagaoka's result (or Serre's result for $n = 1$)

$\forall S \in \Lambda_2^+$ with $\text{level}(S) = p$, $\exists f \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_n)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ s.t. $f \equiv \theta_S^{(n)} \pmod{p}$

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

Corollary 6.3

p : odd prime, $S \in \Lambda_2^+$,

$\exists \phi \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_1)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ s.t. $\vartheta_S^{(1)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p} \implies \text{level}(S) = p$

Proof. $\vartheta_S^{(1)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p} \implies \omega(S) = \frac{p+1}{2}$

On the other hand, $\vartheta_S^{(1)} \equiv \phi \pmod{p} \implies \text{level}(S)$: p -power

Actually, $\det(2S)$: an odd power of p .

Prop 6.1

$\therefore \chi_S$ has nontrivial p -component, otherwise $1 \equiv \frac{p+1}{2} \pmod{p-1}$.

$\rightarrow S = S(i, j), \quad \omega(S) = \omega(S(i, j)) \geq p^{i+j} \cdot \frac{p+1}{2}$

Prop 6.2

$\rightarrow i = j = 0$, i.e., $\text{level}(S) = p \quad \square$

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

49

We prove Theorem 3.

Theorem 3 (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq 1, \quad r = 2, \quad p > n + 5, \quad p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \quad N = 1$$

$F \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_{n+2})$: mod p singular of p -rk 2

\implies Conjecture 3 is true, i.e.,

$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_2^+$: fin. set s.t. level(S_j) = p and

$$F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p}$$

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

50

Proof. $\{T_1, \dots, T_{h_p}\} \subset \Lambda_2/\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$: level p

By Boecherer-Nagaoka's result

$$\theta_{T_i}^{(n)} \in M_1(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(p), \chi_{-p}), \quad \exists G_i \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad G_i \equiv \theta_{T_i}^{(n)} \pmod{p}$$

→ G_i : mod p singular $\because \vartheta_{T_i}^{(n)}$: true singular.

Consider $H := F - \sum_{i=1}^{h_p} \frac{1}{2} a_F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_i \end{pmatrix} G_i \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_{n+2})$

→ H : mod p singular of some p -rank $r' \leq 2$. A(T_i, T_i) = 2

Suppose that still $r' = 2$.

→ $\exists S \in \Lambda_2^+$ with $a_H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ s.t. $\det S$: minimal

Cor 4.3

→ $\theta_S^{(1)} \in \widetilde{M}_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_2)$



level(S) = p

Cor 6.3

■ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

51

→ $S \sim T_j \pmod{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ for some j

$$a_H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_i \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } \forall i \implies a_H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Contradiction!

Therefore $r' \leq 1$ or $H \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

→ $p + 1 - r' \equiv 0 \pmod{p - 1}$ Impossible!

Boecherer-K

→ $H \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ □

7. Remarks and Problems

How about mod p^m singular forms?

Definition

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} : \text{mod } p^m \text{ singular of } p\text{-rank } r$

$\iff a_f(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m} \text{ for } \forall T \in \Lambda_{n+r} \text{ with } \text{rank}(T) \geq r,$

$\exists T \in \Lambda_{n+r} \text{ with } \text{rank}(T) = r \text{ s.t. } a_F(T) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

We proved in 2016 that

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_0^{(n+r)}(N), \chi)_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} : \text{mod } p^m \text{ singular of } p\text{-rank } r, \chi^2 = 1$

$\implies 2k - r \equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1)p^{m-1}}$

We can prove the mod p^m version of Theorem 2
by using Theorem 2 and induction on m .

Theorem 2' (Boecherer-K)

$$n \geq r, \quad p - 1 \nmid r$$

$F \in M_k(\Gamma_{n+r})$: mod p^m singular of p -rk r

$\exists \{S_1, \dots, S_t\} \subset \Lambda_r^+$: fin. set s.t. level(S_j) is p -power and

$$F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t c_j \theta_{S_j}^{(n+r)} \pmod{p^m}$$

We want to prove mod p^m version of Theorem 3! Because ...

Relation to p -adic Siegel-Eisenstein series

$E_k^{(n)}$: Siegel-Eisenstein series of wt. k , deg. n

Nagaoka proved that

$$k_m := 1 + \frac{p-1}{2} p^{m-1} \implies$$

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_{k_m}^{(n)} = \text{genus theta series with level } p \in M_{\frac{p+1}{2}}(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(p), \chi_{-p})$

(p -adic limit)

■ 7. Remarks and Problems

Similarly, Katsurada-Nagaoka proved that

$$k'_m := 2 + (p - 1)p^{m-1} \implies$$

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_{k'_m}^{(n)} = \text{genus theta series with level } p \in M_{p-1}(\Gamma_0^{(n)}(p))$
 (p-adic limit)

They proved these formulas by calculation of local densities.

Since we have

$$E_{k_m}^{(n)}: \text{mod } p^{c(m)} \text{ singular of } p\text{-rk } 2 \quad (\text{by FC of Eisen})$$

$$E_{k'_m}^{(n)}: \text{mod } p^{c'(m)} \text{ singular of } p\text{-rk } 4$$

we expect to give alternative proofs in terms of mod p^m singular

Thank you for your attention!