

Arthur's classification for non-quasi-split odd special orthogonal groups

Hiroshi Ishimoto

Osaka Metropolitan University

March 2024

Introduction

Main theorem

Outline of the proof

Introduction

- ◇ In this talk, a representation means a representation over \mathbb{C} .
- Automorphic representations have been important objects in number theory.
- It is conjectured that one can classify them in terms of representations of the Galois group
... "Global Langlands conjecture":

Automorphic representations \longleftrightarrow Representations of the Galois group

Introduction

- F : a number field, \mathbb{A}_F : the ring of adeles
- G : a connected reductive group over F
- Z : the center of G
- $L^2(G) := L^2(G(F)Z(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F))$
 - ▶ A representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ defined by $[g \cdot f](x) = f(xg)$
- $L^2(G) = L^2_{\text{cont}}(G) \oplus L^2_{\text{disc}}(G)$

A representation π of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is called a discrete automorphic representation if it is a subrepresentation of $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G)$.

↪ "Global Langlands conjecture" can be stated as the following style:

$$L^2_{\text{disc}}(G) = \bigoplus_{R: \text{representations of } \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)} \pi_R$$

- ◀ $\pi_R \subset L^2_{\text{disc}}(G)$, irreducible.
- For simplicity, we do not consider central characters.

Introduction

- Arthur proved it for $G =$ quasi-split $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ under the assumption that it holds for GL_k .
- He classified discrete automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in terms of discrete automorphic representations of GL_k
 ... Arthur's classification:

$$L_{\mathrm{disc}}^2(G) = \bigoplus_{r:\text{parameters related to discrete automorphic representations of } \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{A}_F)} \pi_r.$$

Also known for $G =$

- quasi-split $U(N)$... Mok
- non-quasi-split $U(N)$... Kaletha–Mínguez–Shin–White ("generic part")

Main result: Arthur's classification for non-quasi-split $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1)$

Introduction

- Arthur treated quasi-split $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(N)$... Original work
- Mok treated quasi-split $U(N)$... Analogue of Arthur's work
- KMSW treated inner forms of $U(N)$... Reduction to Mok's result (partially analogous to Arthur's work)
- Today, we treat inner forms of $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1)$... Analogue of KMSW, i.e., reduction to Arthur's result
- Why $\mathrm{SO}(2n + 1)$? (Not $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$)
- ▶ Application for Arthur's classification for metaplectic groups (Gan–Ichino)

Main theorem

Notation

- F : a number field

For any place v of F ,

- F_v : the localization
- q_v : the residual order of F_v (if $v < \infty$)
- W_{F_v} : the Weil group of F_v
- $L_{F_v} := \begin{cases} W_{F_v}, & v: \text{archimedean,} \\ W_{F_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}), & v: \text{non-archimedean} \end{cases}$
- \mathbb{A}_F : the ring of adèles of F

Near equivalence

- SO_{2n+1} : the split special orthogonal group of degree $2n + 1$, over F
- G : an inner form of SO_{2n+1}

Definition of near equivalence

Two irreducible representations $\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes_v \pi'_v$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_F) = \prod'_v G(F_v)$ are nearly equivalent if $\pi_v \simeq \pi'_v$ for almost all v .

$$\rightsquigarrow L^2_{\text{disc}}(G) = \bigoplus_{C: \text{near equivalence classes}} C$$

Divide the main theorem into two parts

- 1st: parametrization of $\{C\}$;
- 2nd: parametrization of irreducible components in each C .

A-parameter

Definition of A-parameter for SO_{2n+1}

An A-parameter for G is a formal unordered sum

$$\psi = \ell_1(\mu_1 \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_r \boxtimes S_{d_r}),$$

where $\ell_i \geq 1$ is an integer, and

- μ_j : an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_{m_j}(\mathbb{A}_F)$;
- S_{d_j} : the unique irreducible d_j -dimensional representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$;
- $i \neq j \Rightarrow (\mu_i, d_i) \neq (\mu_j, d_j)$;
- $\sum_i \ell_i m_i d_i = 2n$;
- ℓ_i is even unless one of μ_i and S_{d_i} is symplectic and the other is orthogonal.

- $\Psi(G) := \{A\text{-parameter } \psi \text{ for } G\}$

The 1st main theorem

Theorem 1

For an A -parameter ψ for G , let $L_{\psi}^2(G)$ be the near equivalence class defined below. Then

$$L_{\text{disc}}^2(G) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi(G)} L_{\psi}^2(G).$$

Definition of $L_{\psi}^2(G)$

- $\psi = \ell_1(\mu_1 \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_r \boxtimes S_{d_r}) = \bigoplus_i \mu_i \boxtimes S_{d_i}$
- ↪ $\psi_{\nu} := \bigoplus_i \mu_{i,\nu} \boxtimes S_{d_i}$, for any place ν of F
 - ▶ $\mu_{i,\nu}$: an irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_{m_i}(F_{\nu})$
 - \cdots unramified for almost all ν
 - $\{\alpha_{i,\nu}^{(j)}\}_j$: the Satake parameter of $\mu_{i,\nu}$
- ↪ $\pi \subset L_{\psi}^2(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ the Satake parameter of π_{ν} is $\{\alpha_{i,\nu}^{(j)} q_{\nu}^{-\frac{d_i-1}{2}+k}\}_{i,j,0 \leq k \leq d_i-1}$, for almost all ν .

Another equivalent definition of $L^2_\psi(G)$

- $\psi = \ell_1(\mu_1 \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_r \boxtimes S_{d_r})$: an A -parameter for G
- ↪ $\psi_v = \ell_1(\mu_{1,v} \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_{r,v} \boxtimes S_{d_r})$
 - ◀ $\mu_{i,v}$: a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(F_v)$
 - ↪ regarded as an L -parameter via LLC for $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(F_v)$
 - ▶ $\mu_{i,v}: L_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})$
- ▶ $\psi_v: L_{F_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$
- ↪ $\phi_{\psi_v}(w) := \psi_v(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & |w|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix})$
- ↪ $\pi \subset L^2_\psi(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$ the Satake parameter of π_v equals to that of ϕ_{ψ_v} for almost all v

Local packets

- ψ : an A -parameter for $G \rightsquigarrow \psi_v: L_{F_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$
- $\mathcal{S}_{\psi_v} := \pi_0(\mathcal{C}_{\psi_v})$, $\mathcal{C}_{\psi_v} := \mathrm{Cent}(\mathrm{Im} \psi_v, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$
- $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi_v}$: the group of characters of \mathcal{S}_{ψ_v}

We can define the local packet

- $\Pi_{\psi_v}(G_v)$: a finite multiset of irreducible unitary representations of $G_v(F_v)$
 - with a map $\Pi_{\psi_v}(G_v) \ni \pi_v \mapsto \langle -, \pi_v \rangle \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi_v}$
- ◀ $\Pi_{\psi_v}(G_v)$ and $\langle -, \pi_v \rangle$ are characterized by "Endoscopic character relation" (next page) and induction of representations.
- ◀ Construction of them is not so explicit.
- ◀ Whittaker datum for SO_{2n+1} is unique.

Endoscopic character relation

Assume G_V : non-quasi-split. (G_V : quasi-split \Rightarrow Arthur's work) Choose

- $s \in C_{\psi_V}$ with $Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})}(s) \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2b}(\mathbb{C})$, $a + b = n$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \psi_V^\varepsilon: L_{F_V} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C})$, ($\varepsilon = a, b$) s.t. $\eta \circ (\psi_V^a \oplus \psi_V^b) = \psi_V$

◀ $\eta: \mathrm{Sp}_{2a}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2b}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})}(s) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

Endoscopic character relation

Suppose $\psi_V(W_F)$ is bounded. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(G_V)$ and $f^\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathrm{SO}_{2\varepsilon+1})$. If f and $f^a \times f^b$ have "matching orbital integrals", then

$$\prod_{\varepsilon=a,b} \left(\sum_{\pi^\varepsilon \in \Pi_{\psi_V^\varepsilon}(\mathrm{SO}_{2\varepsilon+1})} \langle s_{\psi_V^{(\varepsilon)}}, \pi^\varepsilon \rangle \mathrm{tr}(\pi^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon)) \right) = e(G_V) \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\psi_V}(G_V)} \langle s_{\psi_V}, \pi \rangle \mathrm{tr}(\pi(f)),$$

where $s_{\psi_V} := \psi_V(1, -1) =: s_{\psi_V}^{(a)} \times s_{\psi_V}^{(b)}$, and $e(G_V)$ is the Kottwitz sign.

Global packets

- $\psi = \ell_1(\mu_1 \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_r \boxtimes S_{d_r}) \in \Psi(G)$: an A -parameter for G
- $\mathcal{S}_\psi := (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_r$, (a_i : formal basis)
 - a canonical map $\mathcal{S}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\psi_v}$, for all v
- $\Delta: \mathcal{S}_\psi \rightarrow \prod_v \mathcal{S}_{\psi_v}$: the diagonal map

We can define the global packet

- $\Pi_\psi(G) := \{\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v \mid \pi_v \in \Pi_{\psi_v}(G_v), \langle -, \pi_v \rangle = 1 \text{ for almost all } v\}$
 - with a map $\Pi_\psi(G) \ni \pi \mapsto \langle -, \pi \rangle := \prod_v \langle -, \pi_v \rangle \in \widehat{(\prod_v \mathcal{S}_{\psi_v})}$

The 2nd main theorem

- $\psi = \ell_1(\mu_1 \boxtimes S_{d_1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_r(\mu_r \boxtimes S_{d_r}) \in \Psi(G)$

We shall call an A -parameter ψ discrete if $\ell_i = 1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$.

- $\Psi_2(G) := \{\text{discrete } A\text{-parameter for } G\} \subset \Psi(G)$
- $\psi \in \Psi_2(G) \Leftrightarrow$ every $\mu_i \boxtimes S_{d_i}$ is symplectic and multiplicity free.

Theorem 2

If $d_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, then

$$L^2_\psi(G) = \begin{cases} 0, & \psi \notin \Psi_2(G), \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi_\psi(G) \\ \langle -, \pi \rangle \circ \Delta = 1}} \pi, & \psi \in \Psi_2(G). \end{cases}$$

- If $d_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$, the parameter ψ is said to be generic.

Remark on the non-generic part

Expectation

For every A -parameter $\psi \in \Psi(G)$, there is a character $\varepsilon_\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}_\psi$ such that

$$L^2_\psi(G) = \begin{cases} 0, & \psi \notin \Psi_2(G), \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi_\psi(G) \\ \langle -, \pi \rangle \circ \Delta = \varepsilon_\psi}} \pi, & \psi \in \Psi_2(G), \end{cases}$$

and ε_ψ can be given explicitly.

- In the quasi-split cases (Arthur, Mok), the expectation above was also proved.
- A paper by KMS about it for unitary groups is now in preparation.

Outline of the proof

Main tools

- Induction on the size of the group (\rightarrow We assume all theorems holds for any proper Levi subgroup.)
- Trace formula and its stabilization
- Local intertwining relation

Proof of Theorem 1

- First, we have $L_{\text{disc}}^2(G) = \bigoplus_{(t,c)} L_{t,c}^2(G)$
 - ◀ $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $c = (c_v)_v \in \prod_v (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n / W(G)$
 - ◀ $L_{t,c}^2(G) := \bigoplus \pi$, where π runs over s.t. the Hermitian norm of the imaginary part of the infinitesimal character of the archimedean component π_∞ (a representation of $G(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F)$) is t , and the Satake parameter of π_v is c_v for almost all finite place v .
- One can associate $t(\psi)$ and $c(\psi)$ with $\psi \in \Psi(G)$ in the natural way.

↪ Theorem 1: " $L_{\text{disc}}^2(G) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi(G)} L_{\psi}^2(G)$ " can be rephrased as

Theorem 1'

$L_{t,c}^2(G) = 0$ unless $(t, c) = (t(\psi), c(\psi))$ for some $\psi \in \Psi(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 1' -Trace Formula-

- R_{disc} : the representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ on $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G)$
- $R_{\text{disc}} = \bigoplus_{(t,c)} R_{t,c}$, parallel to $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G) = \bigoplus_{(t,c)} L^2_{t,c}(G)$

For any (t, c) , consider

- the discrete part of the trace formula:

$$I_{t,c}^G(f) := \sum_{\substack{M \subset G \\ \text{Levi}}} \sum_{w \in W_{M,\text{reg}}^G} C_{M,w} \cdot \text{tr}(M_{P,t,c}(w) \circ \mathcal{I}_{P,t,c}(f)), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$$

- ◀ P : a parabolic subgroup of G with the Levi subgroup M
 - ◀ $\mathcal{I}_{P,t,c}$: the " (t, c) -part" of the representation induced from $L^2_{\text{disc}}(M)$.
 - ◀ $M_{P,t,c}(w)$: an intertwining operator
 - ◀ $C_{M,w}$: a constant
- $\text{tr } R_{t,c}(f)$ is the summand for $M = G$

Proof of Theorem 1' -Stable Multiplicity Formula-

- The stabilization of $I_{t,c}^G(f)$:

$$I_{t,c}^G(f) = \sum_{G': \text{elliptic endoscopic group of } G/\simeq} \iota(G, G') \cdot S_{t,c}^{G'}(f')$$

- ◀ G' runs over $\{\mathrm{SO}_{2a+1} \times \mathrm{SO}_{2b+1} \mid a + b = n\}$
- ◀ $\iota(G, G')$: a constant
- ◀ $f' \in C_0^\infty(G')$: the "transfer" of f
- ◀ $S_{t,c}^{G'}(f')$: stable linear form

Theorem (Stable multiplicity formula) (Arthur)

If there is an A -parameter $\psi \in \Psi(G)$ such that $(t, c) = (t(\psi), c(\psi))$, then

$$S_{t,c}^{G'}(f) = |S_\psi(G')|^{-1} \varepsilon_\psi^{G'}(s_\psi) \sigma(\bar{S}_\psi^0(G')) f'(\psi).$$

Otherwise, $S_{t,c}^{G'}(f) = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1' -induction-

Fix (t, c) .

Suppose that there is no $\psi \in \Psi(G)$ such that $(t, c) = (t(\psi), c(\psi))$.

$\Rightarrow I_{t,c}^G(f) = 0$ (\because Stable multiplicity formula)

- Induction hypothesis: All theorems holds for groups of smaller size.
- \rightsquigarrow All theorems holds for every proper Levi subgroup
- Recall:

$$I_{t,c}^G(f) := \sum_{\substack{M \subsetneq G \\ \text{Levi}}} \sum_{w \in W(G, M)_{\text{reg}}} C_{M,w} \cdot \text{tr}(M_{P,t,c}(w) \circ \mathcal{I}_{P,t,c}(f))$$

\rightsquigarrow Every term for $M \subsetneq G$ vanishes.

$\rightsquigarrow I_{t,c}^G(f) = \text{tr } R_{t,c}(f)$

$\Rightarrow \text{tr } R_{t,c}(f) = 0$, which means $L_{t,c}^2(G) = 0$

Proof of Theorem 2 -the difference ${}^0r_\psi$ -

Recall Theorem 2: For a generic A -parameter $\psi \in \Psi(G)$, we have

$$L_\psi^2(G) = \begin{cases} 0, & \psi \notin \Psi_2(G), \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi_\psi(G) \\ \langle -, \pi \rangle \circ \Delta = \varepsilon_\psi}} \pi, & \psi \in \Psi_2(G). \end{cases}$$

We shall show that

$${}^0r_\psi(f) := \text{tr } R_\psi(f) - \text{tr}(\text{RHS})(f)$$

is identically zero.

Proof of Theorem 2 -the Standard Model-

Arthur studied $I_{\psi}^G(f) := I_{t(\psi), c(\psi)}^G(f)$ more deeply.

- Spectral terms:

$$I_{\psi}^G(f) := \sum_{\substack{M \subset G \\ \text{Levi}}} \sum_{w \in W(G, M)_{\text{reg}}} C_{M, w} \cdot \text{tr}(M_{P, \psi}(w) \circ \mathcal{I}_{P, \psi}(f))$$

$$\dots = {}^0 r_{\psi}(f) + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi}} \kappa_{\psi, s} f_G(\psi, s)$$

◀ $\kappa_{\psi, s}$: a constant

◀ $f_G(\psi, s)$: a linear form related to an intertwining operator

- Endoscopic terms:

$$I_{\psi}^G(f) = \sum_{G': \text{ell. endos. of } G/\simeq} \iota(G, G') S_{\psi}^{G'}(f')$$

$$\dots = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{\psi}} \kappa_{\psi, s} f'_G(\psi, s^{-1} s_{\psi})$$

◀ $f'_G(\psi, s^{-1} s_{\psi})$: a stable linear form on an endoscopic group

Proof of Theorem 2 -Intertwining Relations-

↪ The proof is reduced to

Global intertwining relation

For any $s \in \mathcal{S}_\psi$,

$$f_G(\psi, s) = f'_G(\psi, s^{-1}s_\psi).$$

- For $f = \bigotimes_v f_v \in C_0^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_F))$, one can decompose
 - $f_G(\psi, s) = \prod_v f_{v, G_v}(\psi_v, s_v)$;
 - $f'_G(\psi, s^{-1}s_\psi) = \prod_v f'_{v, G_v}(\psi_v, s_v^{-1}s_{\psi, v})$.

↪ The proof is reduced to

Local intertwining relation

For any place v ,

$$f_{v, G_v}(\psi_v, s_v) = f'_{v, G_v}(\psi_v, s_v^{-1}s_{\psi, v}).$$

Proof of Theorem 2 -Proof of Local Intertwining Relation-

- F : a local field
- G : a non-quasi-split inner form of SO_{2n+1}
- ψ : a "local A -parameter" for G

Local intertwining relation

For some special $s \in C_\psi = \text{Cent}(\text{Im } \psi, \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}))$,

$$f_G(\psi, s) = f'_G(\psi, s^{-1}s_\psi).$$

Key idea

- Reduction of the proof to the case of some special kind of parameters ψ
- Globalization (a problem in non-generic case) \cdots Next page

Proof of Theorem 2 -Proof of Local Intertwining Relation-

- $(\dot{F}, u, \dot{G}, \dot{\psi})$: a globalization of (F, G, ψ) s.t.
 - $\dot{F}_u = F, \dot{G}_u = G, \dot{\psi}_u = \psi$;
 - $\exists w$ s.t. $\dot{F}_w = \mathbb{R}, \dot{G}_v$ is split for $v \neq u, w$;
 - "Quasi"-global intertwining relation holds for $(\dot{F}, \dot{G}, \dot{\psi})$;
 - etc.
- ↪ The proof is reduced to some special concrete cases over \mathbb{R}
 - Direct calculations complete the proof.

Thank you

$$L^2_{\text{disc}}(G) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Psi(G)} L^2_{\psi}(G).$$

$$L^2_{\psi}(G) = \begin{cases} 0, & \psi \notin \Psi_2(G), \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}(G) \\ \langle -, \pi \rangle \circ \Delta = \varepsilon_{\psi}}} \pi, & \psi \in \Psi_2(G), \end{cases}$$